

Energy efficient buildings –

an opportunity or a burden for South East Europe?

Oliver Rapf Executive Director

7th South East Europe Energy Dialogue , Thessaloniki, 19 – 20 June 2013



Buildings Performance Institute Europe www.bpie.eu

- Non-profit association based in Brussels, created in 2010
- ▶ Founders: ECF, ClimateWorks, eceee
- BPIE's mission is to improve the energy performance of buildings by:
 - Supporting the development of ambitious yet pragmatic buildingsrelated policies and programs at EU and member state level
 - Driving timely and efficient implementation by teaming-up with relevant stakeholders
 - Providing fact based analysis and knowledge, and sharing best practice globally through our network
- European center of Global Buildings Performance Network



The political context for energy and buildings

Flagship Initiative EUROPE 2020: A resource-efficient Europe

Low-carbon Economy 2050 Roadmap:

GHG reduction vs. 1990	2005	2030	2050
Power	-7%	-54 to -68%	-93 to -99%
Industry	-20%	-34 to -40%	-83 to -87%
Transport	30%	+20 to -9%	-54 to -67%
Residential and services	-12%	-37 to -53%	-88 to-91%
Agriculture	-20%	-36 to -37%	-42 to -49%

 Roadmap for a resource-efficient Europe 2050: better construction and use of buildings - influence 42% of the final energy consumption, 35% of the CO₂ emissions, >50% of all extracted materials and 30% of water consumption.

– Energy 2050 Roadmap:

"energy efficiency potential in new and existing buildings is key"



The political context for energy and buildings: EUROPE 2020 - the EU Commission Strategy:

A resource-efficient Europe.

Long-term framework for actions in many policy areas:

- ✓ 2011: Low-carbon Economy 2050 Roadmap
- ✓ 2011: Roadmap for a resource-efficient Europe 2050
- ✓ 2011: Energy 2050 Roadmap
- ✓ 2011: European Energy Efficiency Plan 2020





** 15 of the 27 EU Member States hold a Participant status according to Article 95 of the Energy Community Treaty, the country names of which are marked in bold on the map.

Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (recast)

All 250 Weit Same Weiter W	Energy performance & Cost optimality	 MSs: Minimum energy performance requirements Cost-optimal methodology (common framework) Requirements for technical building systems
	Existing Buildings	 All buildings undergoing major renovation should implement energy efficiency measures Minimum requirements for buildings & components
	New Buildings = nZEB	 By 31 Dec. 2018 public admin. buildings By 31 Dec. 2020 all buildings National plans for nZEB
	Energy Performance Certificates (EPC)	 Implement EPC schemes Recommendation for cost-optimal improvements Independent control systems
	HVAC inspection	 Regular inspections (heating > 20kW, AC>12kW) Independent control systems
	Financial incentives & Market barriers	- MSs: to prepare lists of measures and instruments

Energy Efficiency Directive (2012)

Buildings related provisions:

- By April 2014, member states have to develop a long-term strategy for investments in the renovation of the national building stock, including policies and measures to stimulate cost-effective deep renovations
- Member states must renovate 3% of their central government buildings each year.





How to Design an Effective National Renovation Strategy...



Renovation Delivers Multiple Benefits!

MULTIPLE BENEFITS ACHIEVED THROUGH SUSTAINABLE ENERGY RENOVATION OF BUILDINGS



Economic

Energy Cost saving, Economic Stimulus, Impact on Gross Domestic Product, Property Values, Industrial Competitiveness, Impact on Public Finances, Reduced Energy Imports



Societal

Reduced Fuel Poverty, Improved Health, Increased Comfort and Productivity



Environmental Carbon Saving, Reduced Air Pollution



Energy System Energy Security, Avoided New Generation Capacity, System Benefits





nZEB: One EU requirement, 27 (28) national implementation rules

'nearly zero-energy building' [...] has a very high energy performance. The nearly zero or very low amount of energy required (for HVAC, DHW, aux. equip. and lighting) should be covered to a very significant extent by energy from renewable sources, including on-site or nearby RES. (EPBD)

recast EPBD: Nearly Zero-Energy Buildings

- by 31 December 2020, all new buildings
- after 31 December 2018, new buildings occupied and owned by public authorities
- National definition for nZEB
- National plans for nZEB (including public buildings retrofit towards nZEB levels)
- Support measures & overcoming barriers

RES Directive Article 13.4: By 31 December 2014 the EU MSs have to introduce in building codes minimum requirements for RES for new buildings and renovation



Case Study Nearly Zero Energy Buildings



Source: Deutsche Energie-Agentur GmbH (dena)

Some countries started already: Roadmap towards nZEB



BPIE

Source: REHVA

BPIE study for nZEB definitions and implementation roadmap in Bulgaria



Authors: BPIE, Ecofys Germany, EnEffect Bulgaria





Reference buildings for new constructions in Bulgaria







Characteristics	Reference SFH	Reference MFH	Reference Office
Number of conditioned floors	2	6	3
Net floor area	127 m²	2870 m ²	886 m²
Room height	2.65 m	2.73 m	3.00 m
U-walls	0.34 W/(m²K)	0.64 W/(m²K)	0.46 W/(m²K)
U-roof	0.27 W/(m²K)	0.30 W/(m²K)	0.32 W/(m²K)
U-floor	0.55 W/(m²K)	0.55 W/(m²K)	0.46 W/(m²K)
U-windows, frame fraction	1.70 W/(m²K); 21%	1.70 W/(m²K), 15%	1.70 W/(m²K), 15%
Window fraction	13%	23%	50%
(window/wall-ratio)	(only 5% on N & W facades)		
Shading	None	None	Internal blinds, manual control
Heating system	Wood boiler (set point: 20°C)	District Heating (set point:	Heat pump, fan coils (set point: 20°C)
	Heating efficiency: 0.82	20°C)	Heating efficiency: 3.3
		Heating efficiency: 0.99	
DHW system	Combination of wood boiler and	Same as for heating	Decentralised direct electric
	electric heater. DHW efficiency:	DHW efficiency: 0.99	
	0.93 (40% Wood = 0.82, 60%		
	electric heater = 1.00)		
Ventilation system	Natural/window ventilation	Natural/window ventilation	Mechanical ventilation
	(0.35 1/h)	(0.5 1/h)	70% heat recovery
Cooling system	Split system (set point: 26°C)	None	Compression chillers, fan coils (set
	SEER: 3.2		point: 24°C) SEER: 3.3
Internal gains	13.5 W/m²	20 W/m ²	30 W/m ²

Simulated nZEB variants and heating solutions

	Reference SFH	Reference MFH	Reference Office
V0	Reference	Reference	Reference
V1	Improved building shell	Improved building shell	Improved building shell + external shading
V2	Improved building shell + solar collectors	Mech. ventilation with heat recovery	Improved building shell + external shading + improved lighting
V3	Improved building shell + mech. ventilation with heat recovery	Improved building shell + mech. ventilation with heat recovery	Improved building shell + external shading + improved lighting + improved windows + improved heat recovery
V4	Nearly passive house standard	Improved building shell + mech. ventilation with heat recovery + solar collectors	
Α	Air source heat pump	Air source heat pump	Central air/water heat pump
В	Ground collector brine heat pump	Ground collector brine heat pump	Central brine/water heat pump
С	Wood pellet boiler	Wood pellet boiler	Central wood pellet boiler
D	Gas condensing boiler	Gas condensing boiler	Central gas condensing boiler
E		District Heating	District heating

Additionally: with and without CO₂ compensation (by a rooftop PV system)



Selected nZEB optimal solutions

	nZEB solution	Brief Description	Heating system	Additional annualised costs (Base year 2010) [€/m²yr]	Additional annualised costs comparing with average reference actual price [%]
	V1A	Improved building shell	Air heat pump	-7.73	-14.7%
SFH	V3B	Improved building shell	Brine heat pump	-3.20	-6.1%
	V3C	+ mech. ventilation with heat recovery	Bio Pellet	-2.26	-4.4%
	V1C	Improved building shell	Bio Pellet	0.53	1.15%
H	V3B	Improved building shell + mech. ventilation with heat recovery	Brine heat pump	2.21	4.8%
Σ	V4C	Improved building shell + mech. ventilation with heat recovery + solar collectors	Bio Pellet	2.01	4.4%
	V2A	Improved building shell + external	Air heat pump	4.24	12.15%
ice	V2C	shading + improved lighting	Bio Pellet	9.47	27%
Off	V3B	Improved building shell + external shading + improved lighting + improved windows + improved heat recovery	Brine heat pump	9.22	26.3%

Assumed present costs on the market: SFH: 450 Euro/m², MFH: 363 Euro/m², Office: 275 Euro/m² Assumed interest rate: 7.5% RLIF

Proposed nZEB definitions - primary energy need (EPBD scope)





Proposed nZEB definitions - CO2 emissions in primary energy





	pu	Wit	hout CO ₂	compensati	on	With CO ₂	compensat	ion (by add	itional PV)
	Final specific dema [kWh/m²/yr]	Primary energy demand [kWth/m2/jrr]	CO ₂ em issions [kgCO_/m²/yr]	Renewable share [%]	Total additional a nnu alised costs [Euro/m2/yr]	Primary energy demand [KWh/m 2/yr]	CO ₂ emissions [kgCO ₂ /m2/yr]	Renewable share [%]	Total additional a nnualised costs [Euro/m2/yr]
V0-Reference	169.9	86.4	45.1	90%	0	n.a	n.a.	n.a.	0
V1 - Air heat pump	25.5	51.1	6.4	35%	-11.23	0	0	135%	-7.73
V1 - Brine heat pump	21.2	42.5	5.4	35%	-6.37	0	0	135%	-3.46
V1 - Bioboiler	91	21.9	0.5	99%	-4.28	11.6	0	104%	-3.57
V1 - Gas boiler	91	102	18.5	1%	-5.58	36.4	10.2	37%	-1.07
V2 - Air heat pump	19.4	39	4.9	35%	-9.78	0	0	135%	-7.11
V2 - Brine heat pump	15	29.9	3.8	35%	-4.95	0	0	135%	-2.9
V2 - Bioboiler	71	16.6	0.3	99%	-3.93	6.3	0	106%	-3.22
V2 - Gas boiler	71	79.4	14.4	1%	-5.23	26.1	7.7	38%	-1.57
V3 - Air heat pump	20.8	41.8	5.3	35%	-8.78	0	0	135%	-5.92
V3 - Brine heat pump	18.1	36.4	4.6	35%	-5.69	0	0	135%	-3.2
V3 - Bioboiler	72.1	18.8	0.6	98%	-2.96	8.5	0	105%	-2.26
V3 - Gas boiler	72.1	81.6	14.7	1%	-4.27	15.9	6.4	47%	0.23
V4 - Air heat pump	15.6	31	3.9	35%	-7.12	0	0	135%	-4.99
V4 - Brine heat pump	13.5	27.1	3.4	35%	-4.85	0	0	135%	-2.99
V4 - Bioboiler	49.4	13.2	0.5	98%	-2.75	2.9	0	108%	-2.04
V4 - Gas boiler	49.4	55.9	10.1	1%	-3.51	-9.7	1.8	68%	1
	<40	<40	<4	>50	<5	<40	<4	>50	<5
	40 <x<60< td=""><td>40<x<70< td=""><td>4<x<7< td=""><td>30>x<50</td><td>5<x<10< td=""><td>40<x<70< td=""><td>4<x>7</x></td><td>30>x<50</td><td>5< x<10</td></x<70<></td></x<10<></td></x<7<></td></x<70<></td></x<60<>	40 <x<70< td=""><td>4<x<7< td=""><td>30>x<50</td><td>5<x<10< td=""><td>40<x<70< td=""><td>4<x>7</x></td><td>30>x<50</td><td>5< x<10</td></x<70<></td></x<10<></td></x<7<></td></x<70<>	4 <x<7< td=""><td>30>x<50</td><td>5<x<10< td=""><td>40<x<70< td=""><td>4<x>7</x></td><td>30>x<50</td><td>5< x<10</td></x<70<></td></x<10<></td></x<7<>	30>x<50	5 <x<10< td=""><td>40<x<70< td=""><td>4<x>7</x></td><td>30>x<50</td><td>5< x<10</td></x<70<></td></x<10<>	40 <x<70< td=""><td>4<x>7</x></td><td>30>x<50</td><td>5< x<10</td></x<70<>	4 <x>7</x>	30>x<50	5< x<10
	>60	>70	>7	<30	>10	>70	>7	<30	>10

Example Bulgaria: simulation results for single family home



Conclusions

- Energy efficient buildings are not just a dream in Brussels, they can be a reality in all European countries.
- Smart policies to overcome the many barriers need to be tailor-made and effective with a variety of target groups.
- Increasing energy efficiency will have many positive effects for society and economy.
- Need for a reliable and continuous data collection.
- Public funding alone will not be sufficient to finance the deep renovation of buildings Financing tools that unlock private capital and institutional investors capital.
- Training & education to improve the skills in the construction industry and in other related sectors.
- Creating a market for energy efficiency is important, by removing market barriers and administrative bottlenecks.



Thank you for your attention!



Meet BPIE at the EU Sustainable Energy Week: BPIE High-Level Policy Conference

'From Ambition to Action: how to best deliver European building sector policies on the ground.'

Wednesday, June 26th, 14.30 – 18.00 Brussels, Committee of the Regions



More information and registration
<u>http://eusew.eu/energy-days/high-level-policy-conference</u>



<u>www.bpie.eu</u>



Thank you for your attention!

Please check <u>www.bpie.eu</u> and <u>www.buildup.eu</u> for news and reports.





Proposed nZEB definitions – Renewable energy share [%]

	2015/ 2016	2019	2020/ 2021
Single Family Buildings	>20		>40
Multi Family Buildings	>20		>40
Office Buildings	>20		>40
Public Office Buildings	>20	>50	

RES share may be adjusted at regional level, according to the local potential.



Estimated macro-economic benefits between 2020-2050

Indicator	Effect
CO ₂ emissions savings in 2050	4.7-5.3 Mio t CO2
Cumulative energy savings in 2050	15.3 -17 TWh
Additional annual investments	38 - 69 Mio Euro
Additional new jobs	649 - 1180 Full time employees



Proposed	d nZEB implementation roadmap by 2020
Policy process	 Strategies and planning, milestones, monitoring & evaluation, public consultation
Building codes	 Gradual improvement for meeting proposed targets
Energy certification	 Adjust for more visibility of nZEB. Better control & national database
Enforcement and compliance	 Stricter enforcement/compliance on energy performance of buildings
Reinforce existing /New Policies	 Light support schemes (especially for compensating the high upfront capital for RES) Better integrate buildings and DH and community policies (minimise the costs) Support development of supply chain industry (maximise economic benefits) Stricter public procurement for buildings (public sector) Remove market barriers
Capacity building	 Reinforce responsibilities. More and targeted info and advice points
Workforce skills	 Basic and long-life educational and training programs for workforce. Need for improving the actual practice in design and construction.
Information and	 More info and guidance,
awareness	 Support market champions promoting low-energy buildings
Demo projects	nZEB demo-projects for all building types
Research	Support research on new technologies and techniques





Europe's buildings today



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Total floor area of buildings

Total useful floor area:

- 24 billion m² for EU 27
- 25 billion m² with Norway and Switzerland added.

The 5 most populated countries (DE, FR, UK, IT and ES) account for 65% of total floor space.





A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Residential floor area distribution

- Wide range of floor area contributions from single and multi family houses
- Proportion of floor areas for single family houses is highest in Greece, Ireland, Norway and the UK
- Proportion of floor areas for apartments is highest in Estonia, Latvia and Spain



Floor areas share for the covered countries



Floor area share for residential buildings

Single Family Houses
Apartments



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Non-residential floor area distribution

- Wholesale & retail buildings heating and cooling conditions may differ substantially from other categories due to large areas of wholesale buildings often being used only for storage purposes.
- Offices and educational buildings together account for 40% of the entire non-residential floor space. These buildings have similar heating and cooling conditions to residential buildings (although they are of shorter use)
- Hospitals (7% of total non-residential floor space) have continuous usage patterns, where energy demand can vary substantially depending on the services provided (from consultation rooms to surgery rooms).



Non-residential buildings by floor area



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Residential age profile

- Variations in the age profile between the 3 regions are relatively small. Nonetheless, older buildings (before 1960) have the biggest share in the North & West region
- It is evident that all countries experienced a large boom in construction between 1961 and 1990 (with a few exceptions, the housing stock more than doubled in this period)
- Countries with the biggest share of recently constructed buildings (1990-2010) appear to be Ireland, Spain, Poland and Finland.
- Countries with the biggest share of residential stock dating from 1961 to 1990 seem to be Estonia, Hungary, Latvia and Finland.



Age profile of residential floor space

EE: Data only from 1951 onwards. IT: Data excludes heritage buildings before 1950. LT: Data only from 1941 onwards. ES: Data excludes secondary houses SE: Data only from 1921



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Final energy consumption in buildings

The final energy consumption has had a 50% increase in electricity and gas use and a decrease in use of oil and solid fuels by 27% and 75%, respectively. Overall, the energy use in buildings has a rising trend with an increase from around 400 Mtoe to 450 Mtoe over the last 20 years. This is likely to continue if insufficient action is taken to improve the performance buildings.





www.buildingsdata.eu

	Contact / Imprint, Terms of Use & Privacy Policy / FAQ / User guide Login BPE Website
BPIE DATA HUB FOR THE ENERGY PERFORMANCE OF BUILDINGS	
About 🗸 Country profiles 🗸 Glossary & Tools 🗸	in

DATA SEARCH TOOL

Use the tool to view statistical data and policy information related to the energy performance of buildings in Europe. Browse data by selecting a combination of countries, topics, building types and owner profiles. Select at least one country and one topic.

Countries	ies Statistics / Policies		Building type / Owner profile		
Select countries		Select a topic		Select building type & owner	profile
All countries	~	Statistics	~	All building types	
		Policies & Regulations	~	All owner profiles	4

EU policies addressing the energy performance of buildings


Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (recast)

And p Tri Tri Tri Tri Tri Tri Tri Tri Tri Tri	Energy performance & Cost optimality	 MSs: Minimum energy performance requirements Cost-optimal methodology (common framework) Requirements for technical building systems
	Existing Buildings	 All buildings undergoing major renovation should implement energy efficiency measures Minimum requirements for buildings & components
	New Buildings = nZEB	 By 31 Dec. 2018 public admin. buildings By 31 Dec. 2020 all buildings National plans for nZEB
	Energy Performance Certificates (EPC)	 Implement EPC schemes Recommendation for cost-optimal improvements Independent control systems
	HVAC inspection	 Regular inspections (heating > 20kW, AC>12kW) Independent control systems
	Financial incentives & Market barriers	- MSs: to prepare lists of measures and instruments

EPC schemes Implementation status



Countries concerned: EU27, CH, NO

BPIE

Existing EPC registers/databases have proven to be extremely useful in monitoring and analysing the opportunities for energy performance improvement.

In the longer term, they will also prove invaluable in assessing trends in energy performance.

EPBD implementation Residential EPCs

Although the certification schemes have been working for only a couple of years, the proportion of dwellings not yet certified remain above 90% for all countries with the exception of The Netherlands and the United Kingdom.



Share of dwellings with a registered EPC



Financial instruments for EE in buildings

	Grants, Subsidies, Funds	Loans	Tax Incentives, Levies Etc	Obligations, white certificates	Audits	3rd Party finace, ESCOs	Other
AT	All		Households			Existing bldgs	
BE	All		Households & Business	Flanders region			
BG	Existing bldgs	Residential and Public bldgs	Class A or B new build				
CR	All	Public bldgs				Existing residential bldgs	
CY	All						
DK	Existing bldgs						
ES	Residential	Residential					
FI	All		Households		Existing non- residential		
FR	All	All	Households & Business	Existing buildings	Private sector		Feed-in tariff; training scheme
DE	All	Residential				Public buildings	Feed-in tariff
GR	Existing bldgs		Private sector				
ΗU	Existing bldgs		Planned				
IE	Residential		Business	Imminent			
ita- Iy	Existing bldgs	Existing bldgs	Households & Business	All		Yes	Feed-in tariff
LT	Existing bldgs						household renewable grants
LI –	All						
LU	All	New homes					
MT	All						
NL	Residential	New private non- residential	Private sector				All
NO	All					All	
PL	Public sector	Existing bldgs		Planned			
PT	All		All				
RO	Residential bldgs						
SK	Existing bldgs	Existing bldgs					
SL	Private residential and Public non- residential	Private homes				Public residential	
ES	All	All	Households			Public sector	
SE	All		Households & Business				Technology procurement
СН	All		Households & Business				
UK	Existing bldgs	Residential	Households & Business	Residential		Public sector	Feed-in tariff

Summary of the financial programmes for buildings efficiency in Europe 2010/2011

- About 333 financial schemes have been screened through the BPIE survey using several databases (among them MURE, IEA and input from each MS)
- Wide range of identified financial instruments, from grants to VAT reduction applied to all building typologies.
- Financial support varies considerably from around €1M/a to in excess of €1b/a. Larger programmes tend to be support for improvements of social housing.
- Many schemes targeting specific technologies, such as insulation, boiler replacement, renewables, and also new passive buildings.
- Various forms of loans and taxes are usually available both for individuals as well as businesses
- Less popular schemes: audits, third party financing and energy supplier obligations/white certificate schemes (this could become mandatory across all EU MSs if the current proposal in the draft Energy Efficiency Directive is approved)

BPIE

Impact Evaluation for financial programmes







EPBD – Effective implementation?

- 14 Member States informed EC about nZEB plans (EC report was due end 2012)
- 5 Member States informed EC about list of measures & instruments to support the objectives of the Directive (deadline was June 2011)
- 9 Member States have reported their approach to applying the costoptimal methodology to define minimum performance standards for buildings (deadline was March 2013)
- DG Energy taking legal action:
 - Infringement procedures concerning EPBD 2010 ongoing against 24 member states
 - In 2012, legal action in European Court of Justice against Italy and Spain regarding EPBD 2002



Energy Efficiency Directive (2012)

Buildings related provisions:

- By April 2014, member states have to develop a long-term strategy for investments in the renovation of the national building stock, including policies and measures to stimulate cost-effective deep renovations
- Member states must renovate 3% of their central government buildings each year.



Strategy Development Process

	 Identify Key Stakeholders Identify Information Sources
Phase 1	Duilding Steply Characteriantian
	 Identification of energy and non-energy benefits
Phase 2	 Quantification of Investment Requirements and Funding Sources
	Comprehensive Appraisal of Barriers
	 Assessment of Range of Policy Measures
Phase 3	 Development of Holistic Policy Package
	Draft Renovation Strategy
Phase 4	Consultation on Draft Strategy
	•Publish Final Strategy
	•Commence Policy Implementation Process
	 Establish Monitoring and Evaluation Procedures
Phase 5	 Review and Update Strategy every 3 years



Renovating with a purpose – Defining a roadmap towards 2050



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Model basic assumptions

Practical limit by 2050:

- Demolition rate considered (0,2% / yr.)
- Recent renovations excluded (only few, up to 1%)
- New buildings between 2011-2020 considered (0,5% / yr.)
- Additional adjustment

Building stock energy performance:

- By age bands
- By building types
- Residential; old buildings to be renovated first
- Non-residential

Cost assumptions:

- Discount rates: societal (3%), private (10%), public sector (5%)
- Learning curves
- Energy prices: Eurostat, PRIMES forecasts

Decarbonisation of the power sector- 2 pathways:

- BaU (approx. 0,5% / yr.)
- As requested by the Low-carbon economy Roadmap 2050 (approx. 5% p.a. for electricity and 2% for other fuels)



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Renovation depths



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Renovation depths



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Renovation speeds



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings



REALITY CHECK!



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Annual renovation rates

Construction activity









PRINCIPLES FOR NEARLY ZERO-ENERGY BUILDINGS

Paving the way for effective implementation of policy requirements





Rationale – recast EPBD implementation

• Art. 9: Nearly Zero-Energy Buildings (nZEB)

- by 31 December 2020, all new buildings are nZEB
- after 31 December 2018, new buildings occupied and owned by public authorities are nZEB
- national plans for nZEB & public sector leading example
- EPBD requirements are very flexible; there is a need for giving more guidance to Member States for agreeing and implementing a sustainable nZEB definition!



EPBD - nearly Zero-Energy Buildings (nZEBs)

- National plans; public sector to lead by example
- Need for a clear definition:
 - $\checkmark\,$ Principles, criteria and boundaries.
 - ✓ Impact on the current sectorial and overall targets of the EU
 - ✓ (nearly) zero CO2 and zero energy
 - ✓ Avoidance of the lock-in effects
 - ✓ Groups of buildings or a single building?
 - Time disparities (e.g. daily vs. annual balance) and local disparities (e.g. on-site vs. off-site production) between produced and consumed energy
 - ✓ Proper balance of energy efficiency and renewable energy
 - ✓ Cost optimality and nearly zero energy buildings



Aim and structure of the study



Principles For Nearly Zero-energy Buildings

To contribute to a common and cross-national understanding on:

• an ambitious, clear definition and fast uptake of nZEB in all EU MSs

• common principles of sustainable, realistic nZEB

• possible technical solutions and their implications for national building markets, buildings and market players.



RDIF

Answers to the nZEB main challenges

• The study analyses the implications of:

- Current sectorial and overall EU climate & energy targets
- Bridging the gap between (nearly) zero CO2 and zero energy
- Having an open concept and avoiding lock-in effects
- Groups of buildings vs. a single building
- Time disparities (e.g. monthly vs. annual balance) and local disparities (e.g. on-site vs. off-site production) between produced and consumed energy
- Proper balance of energy efficiency and renewable energy
- Cost optimality and nearly zero energy buildings
- …and defines a set of principles, criteria & boundaries for energy demand, renewable energy share and related CO2 emissions

nZEB Principles

First nZEB Principle: Energy demand

There should be a clearly defined boundary in the energy flow related to the operation of the building that defines the energy quality of the energy demand with clear guidance on how to assess corresponding values.

Second nZEB Principle: Renewable energy share

There should be a clearly defined boundary in the energy flow related to the operation of the building where the share of renewable energy is calculated or measured with clear guidance on how to assess this share.

Third nZEB Principle: Primary energy and CO₂ emissions

There should be a clearly defined boundary in the energy flow related to the operation of the building where the overarching primary energy demand and CO₂ emissions are calculated with clear guidance on how to assess these values.

Corollary of First nZEB Principle: Threshold on energy demand

A threshold for the maximum allowable energy need should be defined.

Corollary of Second nZEB Principle: Threshold on renewable energy share

A threshold for the minimum share of renewable energy demand should be defined.

Corollary of Third nZEB Principle: Threshold on CO₂ emissions in primary energy

A threshold for the overarching primary energy demand and CO₂ emissions should be defined.



nZEB technology, financial and policy implications

 The principles are verified on reference buildings in different EU climate zones.
 Several important implications:

Technology & markets	Financial	Policy
-Foster the market	-Significant additional	-Gradually tightening of
penetration of new	investments are required	buildings codes within EU
technologies	for nZEB technologies to	MSs
	implement nZEB	-Support policies for
-Upscale several times the	requirements in every	market penetration of
markets for main existing	new building (aprox. EUR	building related
technologies (for insulation	62 billion per year)	technologies (energy
and ventilation, heat pumps,		efficient and renewable)
RES)	-Important benefits as	
	reward: around 345,000	-Synergies with
-Training for professionals	additional jobs at EU level!	sustainable cities policies



Further steps for a successful implementation of nZEBs

What to do	Whose responsibility
Agreement on a concrete outline of a definition for nZEB	EU MSs, EU Commission, EU Parliament and main stakeholders.
Create benchmarks for suitable nZEB in different EU MSs as a basis for comparison.	EU MSs, EU Commission and main Stakeholders.
Agree on a corridor for the value of an overarching threshold for nZEB.	EU Member States, EU Commission, EU Parliament.
Generate a common reporting format for EU MSs to be used for reporting national plans on how to move towards nZEB.	EU MSs, EU Commission.
Support for investors to understand the necessary up-front investment, by helping to build planning and implementation capacities.	EU MSs, EU Commission.
Ellaborate a definition for buildings renovation at nZEB level.	EU MSs, EU Commission, EU Parliament and main stakeholders.

Moving towards ZEB:

Which is the right road, where is the target?

- Evidence-based policy support in several EU MSs needed
- Goal is to reduce both energy consumption and emissions
- Risk of having sub-optimal nZEB definitions
- Risk of having sub-optimal implementation of the nZEB







BPIE support for nZEB definitions and implementation roadmaps in the EU Member States

- Ongoing BPIE studies for Pl, Ro and Bg
- Based on preliminary analysis of local market conditions, existing policies, technology costs and local practice in construction
- Definition of reference SFH, MFH and office buildings
- Evaluation on simulated improvement options towards nZEB levels for new buildings
- Financial implication and co-benefits
- Proposing affordable but ambitious nZEB definitions
- Proposing policy implementation roadmaps by 2020





- Romanian study end of September
- Polish and Bulgarian studies October 2012

Romania: actual status

- No energy performance requirements in building codes
- Actual practice lower than in more developed countries
- Compliance to be significantly improved
- A -class label threshold in buildings certificates is too high (between 125-150 kWh/m2/yr)
- Only few support schemes for new buildings (i.e. 'Casa Verde')
- Extensive DH systems in cities but old and inefficient
- Low purchase power
- Lower prices for en eff and RES technology and materials but less developed market

Building type		Region	Number of buildings (1000)	Floor area (million m²)	New construction rate (%)
	Datashad SEU	urban	1 189.2	97	Above average
	Detached SFR	rural	3 660.9	237	Below average
	Semi-detached	urban	112.0	20	Above average
	SFH	rural	54.9	6.3	Below average
Residential buildings		urban	80.9	191	Below average
	IVIEN	rural	5.1	5.3	Below average
	Othor	urban	6.3	1.1	Below average
	buildings	rural	8.6	0.5	Below average
	Total		5 118	559	0.6
	Commercial and public offices		19.1	7.8	Much above average
	Retail		133.5	18.3	Above average
Non- residential	Hotels & restaurants		5.0	5.2	Above average
buildings	Health facilities		51.3	9.3	Below average
	Educational facilities		8.1	17.4	Below average
	Total		217.1	59.4	1.5-2.5



Proposed Romanian nZEB definition by 2020 (1)

Minimum requirement - primary energy consumption (EPBD scope)





Proposed Romanian nZEB definition by 2020 (2)

Additional requirement – CO2 emissions in primary energy (EPBD scope)





Proposed Romanian nZEB definition by 2020 (1)

Minimum requirement - primary energy consumption (EPBD scope)





Proposed Romanian nZEB definition by 2020 (2)

Additional requirement – CO2 emissions in primary energy (EPBD scope)





Modeling results for multi-family buildings in Romania

		Without CO, compensation				With CO ₂ compensation (by additional PV)			
Varianda	find specific demand (JAMV/m/lyd	prima ry energy demand (RMN/m?lyri)	CO, emissions BigCO,/m/lyd	Renewable draw [%]	tot al additional annulised costs [[laro/m//yd	primary energy demand [®] DAMV mity ri	CO, emissions BigCO / m ¹ /m ¹	Revewable frame [%]	total a ddik iona i a mua i ked codis fur chmi/ye
VO - Reference	80.7	91	16.4	0	0	n.a.	na.	n.a.	0
V1 - Air heatpump	20.4	40.8	5.1	40%	3	5.7	0,7	120%	3.8
V1 - Brine heatpump	17.8	35.5	4.5	40%	2.9	6.4	0,1	130%	3.7
V1 - Bio boiler	62.3	18	0.8	100%	1.7	11.9	0	100%	1.8
V1 - Gas boiler	62.3	71.3	12.7	0	-12	36.2	8.3	30%	-0.5
V1 - District heating	59.3	55.7	8.7	50%	-43	20.5	4.3	80%	-3.5
V2 - Air heatpump	22	43.9	5.5	40%	5.5	8.8	1.3	110%	6.3
V2 - Brine heatpump	19.5	39.1	4.9	40%	5.6	3.9	0.5	120%	6.4
V2 - Bio boller	62.2	21.9	13	90%	3.3	11.4	0	100%	- 3.5
V2 - Gas boller	62.2	73.2	12.8	0	1.6	38.1	8.4	30%	2,4
V2 - District heating	59.3	58.1	8.9	50%	-0.3	23	4.5	80%	0.6
V3 - Air heatpump	20.5	41.1	5.2	40%	5.1	6	0.8	120%	5.9
V3 - Brine heatpump	18.5	37.1	4.7	40%	5.1	2	0.2	130%	6
V3 - Bio boiler	55.1	21.2	1.4	90%	3.1	9.9	0	100%	3.4
V3 - Gas boiler	55.1	65.7	11.4	0	1.7	30.6	7.	40%	2.5
V3 - District heating	\$2.5	52.7		30%	-0.4	17.5	3.6	80%	-12
V4 - Air heatpump	18.4	36.8	4.6	40%	6.4	5.7	0.7	120%	7.1
V4 - Brine heatpump	15.8	31.6	- 4	40%	6.3	0.5	0.1	130%	7.1
V4 - Bio boiler	45.4	10.5	1.5	90%	4.2	7.9	0	100%	4.5
V4 - Gas botter	45.4	55.2	9.5	0	- 3.1 12	2.1	5.5	40%	2.8
V4 - District heating	43.3	44.7	6.8	50%	- 1	13.6	2.8	80%	1.7
	<40	<40	- 64	>50	-cs	<40	-04	>50	-cS
	40-01-050	40-cx<20	4000	30-ca-650	5-00-10	40-ct <70	400	30-cr-c50	S-crict0
	>60	570	57	<30	>10	>70	57	-30	>10

Additional costs are below 5 Euro per m2 and year in most cases!



Proposed implementation roadmap by 2020

Policy process	Strategies and planning, preparatory studies, set milestones, monitoring, evaluation
	and continuous improvement based on public consultation
Building codes	Gradual improvement for meeting proposed targets.
Energy	Adjust the existing energy class A for coping with nZEB (the actual A class includes all
certification	buildings below 125kWh/m2/yr). Need for better control & national database.
Enforcement and	Introduce stricter enforcement criteria on energy performance of buildings and
compliance	components, penalties and fines.
	Increase the compliance check at the design and construction phase of the building.
New Policies	Gradually reduce the actual subsidies; build support schemes; better integrate
	buildings and DH policies; support development of supply chain industry, stricter
	public procurement for buildings, remove market barriers
Capacity building	Reinforce responsibilities, more and targeted info and advice points, improve data
	collection of buildings data, integrate all in one national database
Workforce skills	Elaborate basic and long-life educational and training programs for workforce, with
	energy efficiency and renewables in mainsteam.
Information and	More info and awareness, more guidance, support market champions promotting
awareness	low-energy buildings
Demo projects	Implement nZEB demo-projects for all building types
Research	Support research on new technologies and techniques

What to expect

The BPIE interactive online platform will allow for:

- Database repository and management (quantitative data and textual information)
 - Country Comparison Dashboard
 - Country Profiles/country fact sheets
 - Information on key legislation Time lines & information
 - Link to other data/information available on the web
- Data presentation and visualisation
- Data collection through online surveys



Screen Design – Start Page

password Login forget pass	xxx, yyy men access additi registered. <u>Click here to</u> sword?	bers and press can also nal content once register			
BPIE BUILDINGS PERFORMANCE INSTITUTE EUROPE		contact	: / imprint /	terms of use / FAQs / L	Jser guide / help login/registe
About Country Profiles Find Data	s Statistics ✓ Polic	cies ∨ Glossary & Tools ∨			💌 😽 🚟 in 🗟
By country	By topic			By type	
All Countries	∽ 🗹 Sta	tistics	~	Residential	~
About BPIE Data The Building Performance Institut Europe (BPIE) is dedicated to improving the energy performance of buildings across Europe, and thereby helping to reduce CO2 emissions from the energy used to buildings. read more	News 9 09.11.201 BPIE pres Zero-Ener 9 10.11.201 BPIE laun Microscop 10.11.201 BPIE laun Microscop	1 ented the study on 'Principles for near gy Buildings' 1 ched the study 'Europe's Buildings und e' 1 hed the study 'Europe's Buildings und e'	ly der the der the	Screencast	search
About About Data Portal About BPIE Partners & Sponsors	Country Profiles	Statistics Building Inventory Data Building Performance Data Climatic Data IEE Projects	Polic Buildir Finan Certifi Syste	Dies ng Codes cial Measures cation m Inspections	Glossary & Tools Definitions GBPN Factors Links

Screen Design Data selection




Impacts of the current political debate of the EED



Source: Coalition for Energy Savings

NZEB report DG Energy: 14 received

- Tightening the building codes for new and for the renovation of the existing buildings EU methodology presented in 2011, is it ambitious enough?
- MSs to elaborate their own methodologies and to communicate to the EU Commission until end June 2012



EPBD - Cost-Optimality



- Tightening the building codes for new and for the renovation of the existing buildings EU methodology presented in 2011, is it ambitious enough?
- MSs to elaborate their own methodologies and to communicate to the EU Commission until end June 2012



EPBD - Existing buildings

- For reaching the 2050 EU targets:
 - Need to renovate the entire building stock at high standards
 - Need to decarbonise the power sector
- Improve data collection on buildings energy consumption and characteristics for all MS.
- Need for developing more ambitious programmes and requirements
- Significant macro-economic benefits in terms of job creation, reduction of energy import dependency, social welfare





PART 2

Policies and programmes for improving energy efficiency in buildings



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Identified barriers





A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

The microscope study?

- Survey template covering legal, financial and technical information on the energy performance of buildings sent out to countries
- Countries covered: EU27, Norway and Switzerland
- Buildings covered: single and multifamily houses, offices, educational buildings, hospitals, hotels and restaurants, sports facilites and wholesale and retail trade buildings
- Picture of European building stock, Policies and Financial programmes, Renovation Scenarios
- 3 regions considered for data analysis and scenario modelling



North & West	AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, FI, FR,	Population: 281 mil
Central & East	BG, CZ, EE, HU, LT, LV, PL, RO, SI, SK	Population: 102 mil
South	CY, EL, ES, IT, MT, PT	Population: 129 mil

Countries and regions considered and equivalent population







A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Residential ownership



- The largest share of dwellings is held in private ownership, while about 20% is allocated to 'pure' public ownership.
- Only Austria reports more than 20% of residential dwellings held in public ownership







A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Residential tenure



Tenure of residential buildings by number of dwellings (except for FR which is in floor area)



- In all EU countries, at least 50% of dwellings are occupied by their owner
- Greece and Czech Republic are among the countries with the biggest share of private tenants
- Austria, UK, Czech Republic, The Netherlands and France have significant portions of public rented dwellings (in most cases these are occupied by social tenants)



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Non-residential ownership



Private

Public

Mixed

Ownership of non-residential buildings by number of buildings (except for FR, SK,, SI which are in floor area and FI in volume of buildings)

- Data on the ownership of nonresidential buildings was only available/reported from 15 countries.
- The ownership profile in the nonresidential sector is more heterogeneous than in the residential sector, spanning from as low as 10% to 90% from country to country.
- Non-residential public owned buildings would be a good target for public policy to begin large-scale renovation to deliver significant reductions in energy use (the impact would be higher in some countries).



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Residential energy mix

Share of heating consumption in terms of final energy use in residential buildings with corresponding energy mix



- Space heating is the most energy intense end-use in EU homes accounting for around 70% of total final energy use.
- Heating share is typically lower in warmer climates.
- The energy mix for heating varies significantly from country to country
- While Spain relies mostly on oil to fulfill its heating needs, Poland relies mainly on coal and France on gas.



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Non-residential energy mix

Since 1990, electricity consumption has increased by a remarkable 74%. Gas consumption has also had a considerable increase while oil and solid fuels decreased, mainly due to the shift ocurred a little bit throughout all Europe, from these last fuels towards gas.



Energy mix in the non-residential sector and corresponding difference compared to 1990 profile



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Non-residential energy consumption shares



building types for different countries across Europe

- Non-residential average specific energy consumption was estimated at 280kWh/m2 (covering all end-uses), being at least 40% larger than the equivalent value for the residential sector.
- Variations are expected from country to country and also from one building type to another.
- Hospitals, hotels and restaurants represent a rather/relatively small share of the overall non-residential consumption
- Offices and wholesale and retail buildings account for over 50% of energy use
- Education and sports facilities account for a further 18% of the energy use while other buildings account for some 6%.



A country-by-country review of the energy performance of buildings

Energy performance requirements

	Performance based requirements ¹					
	New build	Renov.		New build	Renov.	
AT	\checkmark	\checkmark	HU	\checkmark	\checkmark	
BE-WI	\checkmark	х	IE	\checkmark	х	
BE-Br	\checkmark	х	П	\checkmark	\checkmark	
BE-FI	\checkmark	х	LT	\checkmark	\checkmark	
BG	\checkmark	\checkmark	LV	х	х	
СН	\checkmark	\checkmark	MT	х	Х	
СҮ	\checkmark	\checkmark	NL	\checkmark	х	
CZ	\checkmark	\checkmark	NO	\checkmark	\checkmark	
DE	\checkmark	х	PL	\checkmark	\checkmark	
DK	\checkmark	х	РТ	\checkmark	\checkmark	
EE	\checkmark	\checkmark	RO	х	х	
EL	\checkmark	\checkmark	SE	\checkmark	\checkmark	
ES	\checkmark	\checkmark	SI	\checkmark	√ ³	
FI	\checkmark	P ²	SK	\checkmark	\checkmark	
FR	\checkmark	\checkmark	UK	\checkmark	\checkmark	

- The approach shifted from one typically expressed as a maximum permitted U-value to one based on overall building performance, including requirements for technical systems such as HVAC plant and lighting;
- Nearly all countries have adopted a national methodology setting performance/based requirements for new buildings
- In some cases, two approaches exist in parallel (e.g. NO, ES, PL, CH): 1st on holistic approach and 2nd on performance of single elements
- Many different approaches have been applied and no direct comparison can be made (see next slide)



All footnotes are listed under the table 2B6 in BPIE study Europe's buildings Under the Microscope